

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/04/2003

APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/051,855 01/16/2002 Farhad Khosravi 1001.1502116 3331 28075 EXAMINER 7590 12/04/2003 CROMPTON, SEAGER & TUFTE, LLC JACKSON, GARY 1221 NICOLLET AVENUE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SUITE 800 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55403-2420 3731

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Office Action Summary   |  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |
|---|--|-------------------|---|
|   |  | 10/051,855        | KHOSRAVI, ET AL   |
|   |  | Examiner          | Art Unit  |
|   | The MAN INC DATE of this communication of  | Gary Jackson      | 3731  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |  |                   |   |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status |  |                   |   |
|   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16   | January 2002      |   |
| ·   | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.   |                   |   |
| ,   | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. |                   |   |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |                   |   |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 34-49 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 34-49 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |  |                   |   |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |  |                   |   |
| Application Papers  |  |                   |   |
| <ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>   |  |                   |   |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |  |                   |   |
| 12)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)   All b)   Some * c)   None of:  1.   |  |                   |   |
| Attachmer   | nt(s)  | La                | my feels  |
| 2) Notic  | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  | 5) Notice of Info | nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152) |

Application/Control Number: 10/051,855

Art Unit: 3731

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Double Patenting**

Claims 34-49 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,371,970.

The subject matter —an apparatus suitable for filtering emboli comprising an elongated member; a support hoop attached to the elongate member, the support hoop having an articulation region; and a blood permeable sac affixed to the support hoop so that the support hoop forming a distally-facing mouth of the blood permeable sac— is fully disclosed in the patent—that right to exclude covering—apparatus suitable for filtering emboli comprising.

An elongated member having a distal region; a support hoop attached to the distal region, the support hoop having an articulation region, and a blood permeable sac affixed to the support hoop so that the support hoop forms a distally-facing mouth of the blood permeable sac; a guide wire slidably attached to the elongated member; and a delivery sheath having a proximally-facing cavity for accepting the elongated member, support hoop and blood permeable sac, and a lumen extending through the cavity to permit the guide wire to pass therethrough.

The patent not only provides protection for the claims of the patent but also extends patent coverage to the method and apparatus of the present invention. The claims of the present application because of the phrase "comprising" not would provide patent protection for to the claimed apparatus already disclosed and covered by the claim of the issued patent. Thus, the controlling fact is that the present patent protection for the device, fully disclosed in and covered by the claim of the patent, would be extended by the allowance of the claims in this application.

Art Unit: 3731

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application that matured into a patent.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Jackson whose telephone number is (703) 308-4302. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. 7:30 am to 6:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Milano can be reached on (703) 308-2496. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3731